Case: 13-16101 01/10/2014 ID: 8933269 DktEntry: 28-1 Page: 1 of 2

No. 13-16101

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GARY KREMEN, *Plaintiff-Appellant*,

v.

MICHAEL JOSEPH COHEN, an individual, and FNBPAY CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation,

Defendants-Appellees,

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Civil Case Number: 11-CV-05411-LHK (Honorable Lucy H. Koh)

REPLY TO APPELLEES' RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXCEED WORD COUNT LIMITATION FOR REPLY BRIEF AND MOTION TO STRIKE APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF [NINTH CIRCUIT RULE 32-2 AND FRAP RULE 27(a)(3)(B)]

Timothy P. Dillon, Esq. (SBN 190839) Christopher J. Beal, Esq. (SBN 216579) DILLON & GERARDI, APC 5872 Owens Avenue, Suite 200 San Diego CA, 92008 Telephone: (858) 587-1800 Facsimile: (858) 587-2587

> Attorneys for Appellant, Gary Kremen

Case: 13-16101 01/10/2014 ID: 8933269 DktEntry: 28-1 Page: 2 of 2

ARGUMENT

In connection with Appellees' response to Kremen's Motion to Exceed

Word Count Limitation (the "Word Count Motion"), Appellees move this Court to

strike Appellant's Reply Brief. The sole basis of Appellees' motion to strike is that

Kremen's Word Count Motion was not filed at or before the time of filing of

Kremen's Reply Brief. Significantly, Appellees articulate no prejudice supporting

the drastic relief requested of striking the entirety of Kremen's Reply Brief and

Kremen opposes Appellees' motion to strike on that basis. Indeed, rather than

suffering prejudice, Appellees have seized the opportunity to file a disguised sur-

reply opposing Kremen's Appeal, as Appellees' arguments therein appear directed

towards the arguments made by Kremen's Reply rather than the merits of

Kremen's request for a word limit extension.

Dated: January 10, 2014

Respectfully submitted, DILLON & GERARDI, APC

By: *s/Timothy P. Dillon*

TIMOTHÝ P. DILLON CHRISTOPHER BEAL Attorneys for Appellant

Gary Kremen

-1-